

Multi-Asset

CT Sustainable Universal
MAP Funds – Q1 2025

Quarterly investment report

Actively investing in sustainable
strategies with a capped ongoing
charges figure of 0.39%:
redefining value

FOR PROFESSIONAL INVESTORS ONLY. For marketing purposes. Your capital is at risk.



Market insights

The first quarter (Q1) of 2025 was another eventful period in financial markets.

The first quarter (Q1) of 2025 was another eventful period in financial markets. Global equities fell, with weakness in the US and Asia outweighing strength elsewhere. In bond markets, US Treasury yields declined, but German Bund yields soared. Credit spreads – the yield gap between corporate and risk-free government debt – mostly widened.

Investors struggled to weigh the anti-growth elements of President Trump's agenda – most notably sweeping import tariffs – against the promise of more business-friendly policies to come, such as tax cuts

and looser regulations. Tariff concerns seemed to prevail, and US equities fell while Treasuries rallied.

Overall, the 10-year Treasury yield fell 36 basis points (bps) to 4.21%, while the German and UK equivalents rose 37 bps to 2.74% and 11 bps to 4.68% respectively. The European Central Bank cut its key interest rate 25 bps twice in Q1, taking it to 2.5%. The Bank of England (BoE) made just one 25-bp cut, to 4.5%, while the Federal Reserve kept US rates unchanged at 4.25-4.5%.

Global investment grade (IG) and high-yield (HY) credit spreads widened amid

uncertainty about the impact of Trump's trade policies. US markets fared worst. Euro IG was an outlier with spreads tightening.

Within global equities, growth underperformed value, with technology the weakest sector by some distance. By region, it was the US that fell furthest. In export-heavy Japan, stocks fell as rising inflation and an interest rate hike pushed the yen higher. Emerging markets were flat in sterling as currency moves eroded gains. By contrast, continental Europe and the UK enjoyed a strong rally.

Within global equities, growth underperformed value, with technology the weakest sector by some distance. By region, it was the US that fell furthest.




Performance update

US equities lost ground but UK shares rose and Europe was the best performing region.



Three month performance (%)



Source: Columbia Threadneedle Investments and Lipper, all figures net of fees, as at 31 March 2025

The funds lost ground over the quarter as equity markets fell on uncertainty surrounding US trade policy. Fixed income exposure helped limit the losses as yields generally fell and credit spreads tightened, particularly in the US.

At the start of the quarter the large US tech companies were impacted by news that Chinese company Deepseek had developed an AI tool that was almost as powerful as other current models, but at a fraction of the cost and computational power. However, what followed did more damage to broader equity markets: as the US said it would impose

tariffs on China (initially 10% but subsequently 20%) and, more surprisingly, its nearest and largest trading partners, Mexico and Canada. At 25%, this was much higher than anyone was anticipating. If implemented, these tariffs had the scope to damage all three economies. Although the tariffs were paused twice, the threat of more levies remained an ever-present concern for markets, with Trump setting 2 April as the day for broader tariff announcements. US equity markets took the brunt of the selling with the S&P 500 having a peak-to-trough fall of 10%, which meant it ended the period

Key risks

Past performance should not be seen as an indication of future performance. The value of investments and any income derived from them can go down as well as up as a result of market or currency movements and investors may not get back the original amount invested. Views and opinions have been arrived at by Columbia Threadneedle Investments and should not be considered to be a recommendation or solicitation to buy or sell any products that may be mentioned.

Performance update (continued)

4.3% lower. As a consequence, global equities were responsible for the largest losses for the funds over the quarter. UK equities finished in positive territory, up 4.5%, a little behind Europe, which was the best performing region at +6.4%.

The UK equity team underperformed their benchmark over the quarter, with stock selection the key reason. Sector positioning cost returns with an underweight to energy the key driver. At a stock level, it was generally about what was not held which drove the largest movements. Largest detractors came from not holding any Shell, Rolls-Royce nor BAE Systems and largest contributors came from not holding Diageo and Glencore. None of these companies would be considered for inclusion due to their product base. The global allocation in aggregate finished just ahead of their benchmark, with the global income strategy's outperformance enough to just cover the global growth strategy's underperformance. The income strategy benefited from its value and defensive bias, with the largest sector contributor being the

underweight to consumer discretionary. At a stock level not holding NVIDIA, Tesla and an overweight to Allianz were the top contributors with holdings in tech names Broadcom and TSMC the largest detractors. The global growth strategy's overweight to technology hurt returns. At a stock level top detractors were Tetra Tech, Microsoft and TSMC and best contributors came from holding Linde and not holding Tesla and Apple.

Fixed income exposure across the portfolio delivered good gains, as yields generally fell and credit spreads tightened over the period. US 10-year Treasury yields dropped 0.36% to 4.21% on concerns around future growth prospects and the view that the US Federal Reserve would have to cut rates more aggressively than previously anticipated. UK 10-year Gilt yields increased over the period by 0.11 bps to 4.68%, having hit a peak of 4.9% in January, the highest level since the global financial crisis. The largest contribution to returns came from investment grade bonds, with government bonds also contributing positively.

Fixed income exposure across the portfolio delivered good gains, as yields generally fell and credit spreads tightened over the period

Cumulative performance as at 31 March 2025

	3 months	1 year	3 year	Volatility*
CT Sustainable Universal MAP Adventurous C Acc	-3.84	0.57	7.24	8.9
CT Sustainable Universal MAP Growth C Acc	-2.90	1.10	5.81	8.0
CT Sustainable Universal MAP Balanced C Acc	-2.32	1.07	2.21	7.3
CT Sustainable Universal MAP Cautious C Acc	-1.68	1.05	-0.73	6.8
CT Sustainable Universal MAP Defensive C Acc	-1.71	0.56	-4.23	6.4

Discrete performance as at 31 March 2025

	2020 – 2021	2021 – 2022	2022 – 2023	2023 – 2024	2024 – 2025
CT Sustainable Universal MAP Adventurous C Acc	–	4.32	-5.46	12.79	0.57
CT Sustainable Universal MAP Growth C Acc	27.80	2.51	-5.41	10.66	1.10
CT Sustainable Universal MAP Balanced C Acc	24.12	1.14	-6.78	8.48	1.07
CT Sustainable Universal MAP Cautious C Acc	17.37	0.09	-7.57	6.28	1.05
CT Sustainable Universal MAP Defensive C Acc	–	-1.54	-9.65	5.41	0.56

Past performance should not be seen as an indication of future performance.

Source: Columbia Threadneedle Investments and Lipper, all figures net of fees. *Volatility is based on monthly returns from the last two years.

Engagement Activity

Being a responsible investor lies at the heart of everything we do, which includes continually driving improvement through engagement with companies.



Over the quarter we engaged with 25 companies across 7 countries. The most common issues that we engaged with companies on were Climate Change, Environmental Stewardship and Business Conduct.



Q1 2025 engagement:

25 companies across
7 countries

Activity & positioning

We added to our exposures in European and UK equities.

Following the 10% drawdown in US equities in March, we took the opportunity to top up our US equity exposures. We also increased our weightings to European equities due to better regional growth prospects on the back of more expansionary policies, particularly in Germany. We also swapped some government bond positions, increasing the weight to Gilts and reducing the duration-equivalent exposures to US Treasuries following significant outperformance of the US instruments. Towards the end of the quarter, we made a small reduction to our overall duration across portfolios as government bond yields had fallen further than we expected, particularly with the threat of higher inflation looming.

- **The underlying equity teams moved out of US equities into European and UK names.**
- **We added to UK Gilts with a corresponding reduction in global ex UK government bonds.**
- **In fixed income, we moved to reduce overall duration across the portfolio – a shift reflecting scope for higher inflation.**
- **Given the uncertain backdrop, over and underweights remain relatively modest.**



Our view

Given uncertainty – especially around tariffs – we do not think it's the right time for significant over/underweights.

For the foreseeable future, investor attention will be heavily focused on America's trade policy. Markets are currently reacting aggressively – both up and down – in response to the latest updates from the White House. This focus means that fundamental data releases that previously would have garnered significant attention are given less consideration. For example, in response to April's softer-than-expected US inflation data, bond yields ended higher and markets lower. Considering the attention inflation data has received over the past two years, this turnaround is notable and signifies the impact that tariffs could have on the global economy.

There are two main possible outcomes we see playing out over the next few months. The most positive remains our base case and is one in which Trump starts making deals and tariff rates drop off for individual countries as negotiations conclude. Such an outcome would allow the

US administration to claim victory and reduce the most harmful tariff rates at the same time. In this scenario – especially if deals get announced quickly – equity markets would likely respond positively. A possible outcome, even in this scenario, is that there will still be a base universal tariff of around 10%. Given that this would still be significantly higher than the previous tariff regime, there will be a knock-on impact in terms of global trade, corporate earnings and prices paid by consumers. We estimate a 1-2% downgrade in growth over the coming year, which would be just about enough for the US to avoid a technical recession. The longer deals take to come through, however, the deeper the likely damage to be done. We have seen a significant uptick in uncertainty, which if unchecked could lead to the postponement of investment by companies and spending by individuals.

Given uncertainty – especially around tariffs – we do not think it's the right time for significant over/underweights.

Our view (continued)

So, even if the eventual outcome is 'positive' relative to where we stand today, the damage may already be done.

The second scenario is much darker in terms of consequences for global trade, growth and equity markets. Here, deals do not get agreed before the 90-day moratorium expires and the previously announced reciprocal tariffs are enforced. The magnitude of the impact will likely be so significant that many regions, including the US, will slip into recession. The impact would be a knock to global trade, but also an effective and substantial tax rise for the US consumer. This would probably feed through into inflation as the vicious circle of higher prices leads to higher wage demands. The stagflationary impact (lower growth and higher inflation) on the economy would be undoubtedly worse in this second scenario. It would also mean that both equity and fixed income markets would sell off. For investors, there would be few safe havens.

For us, the first outcome remains the base case. However, Trump's liking of tariffs and unwillingness to back down means the

second scenario has arguably increased in probability. There are other unknowns that further muddy the waters. Trump has singled China out for special treatment. China is also the only country that has pushed back in the form of higher tariffs on US goods. They could potentially be one of just a few countries that remain with additional reciprocal tariffs – 145% at the time of writing. Given that China accounts for almost 20% of all US imports, this could have a dramatic impact on shop prices in the US and/or a redirection of cheap goods into other regions, such as the UK and Europe. There are also more targeted tariffs such as sectoral levies on pharmaceuticals and microchips, which could increase the overall tariff burden.


Very simply, we do not know what the next announcement from the White House will be, positive or negative. Hence, we do not think that this is the time for significant over/underweights in portfolios. We remain vigilant to any changes and will adjust our positions accordingly.

We remain vigilant to any changes and will adjust our positions accordingly.




Asset allocation as at 31 March 2025


CT Sustainable Universal MAP Defensive Fund




CT Sustainable Universal MAP Cautious Fund




CT Sustainable Universal MAP Balanced Fund



CT Sustainable Universal MAP Growth Fund



CT Sustainable Universal MAP Adventurous Fund



Source: Columbia Threadneedle Investments. *Change from 31 Dec 24

Designed to make investing simple

With five options ranging from Defensive to Adventurous you can choose the fund that is right for you.

Each portfolio invests across a range of asset classes – the type and proportion of each asset class is tailored in each fund with a view to delivering defined investment outcomes. That means target volatility bands (volatility is a commonly used measure of risk) and long-term return expectations relative to inflation.

For those comfortable with higher levels of risk, a growth portfolio with higher equity exposure may be appropriate. Why? Because equities can offer scope for higher returns, but that potential comes with greater risk of loss. More cautiously minded investors meanwhile, can select a portfolio with a higher allocation to fixed income assets. The likes of government and high-quality corporate bonds tend to be less volatile in their performance than equities.

Your adviser can help you decide which portfolio is the right option for you, usually by using a 'risk profiling' questionnaire alongside consideration of your objectives.


Things to remember when considering investing

The value of investments and any income derived from them can go down as well as up as a result of market movements. You may not get back the original amount invested.



Understanding volatility

If you want to know more speak to your financial adviser. They'll be able to provide you with a copy of our guide to 'Volatility – understanding the ups and downs of investing'.




Typical portfolio asset allocations

Higher risk/return potential

Equities


Lower risk/return potential

Fixed income



Source: Columbia Threadneedle Investments, for illustrative purposes only.

Contact us

 0800 085 0383

 clientsupport@columbiathreadneedle.com

 columbiathreadneedle.com

 [Follow us on LinkedIn](#)

CT Sustainable Universal MAP: redefining value

[➤ Find out more](#)

To find out more visit columbiathreadneedle.com



IMPORTANT INFORMATION. FOR PROFESSIONAL INVESTORS ONLY. For marketing purposes. Your capital is at risk.

This financial promotion is issued for marketing and information purposes only by Columbia Threadneedle Investments in the UK.

Columbia Threadneedle (UK) ICVC III is an open-ended investment company structured as an umbrella company, incorporated in England and Wales, authorised and regulated in the UK by the Financial Conduct Authority (FCA) as a UK UCITS scheme.

The current Prospectus, the Key Investor Information Document (KIID), latest annual or interim reports and the applicable terms & conditions are available from Columbia Threadneedle Investments at Cannon Place, 78 Cannon Street, London EC4N 6AG, your financial advisor and/or on our website www.columbiathreadneedle.com. Please read the Prospectus before taking any investment decision.

This material should not be considered as an offer, solicitation, advice or an investment recommendation. This communication is valid at the date of publication and may be subject to change without notice. Information from external sources is considered reliable but there is no guarantee as to its accuracy or completeness.

In the UK: Issued by Columbia Threadneedle Management Limited, No. 517895, registered in England and Wales and authorised and regulated in the UK by the Financial Conduct Authority.

This document may be made available to you by an affiliated company which is also part of the Columbia Threadneedle Investments group of companies: Threadneedle Asset Management Limited in the UK; Threadneedle Management Luxembourg S.A. in the EEA; Threadneedle Portfolio Services AG in Switzerland; Threadneedle Investments Singapore (Pte.) Limited in Singapore, or Threadneedle Portfolio Services Hong Kong Limited 天利投资管理香港有限公司 in Hong Kong. Certain Funds and/or share classes may not be available in all jurisdictions. This document must not be issued, circulated or distributed other than in circumstances which are in accordance with applicable local legislation. Certain funds and/or share classes may not be available in all jurisdictions.

© 2025 Columbia Threadneedle. All rights reserved. Columbia Threadneedle Investments is the global brand name of the Columbia and Threadneedle group of companies.

CTEA7840019.1 | WF2697174 (04/25)